Scheme name: A47 Blofield, A47 Thickthorn, A47 Tuddenham, A47 Wansford

Category: Design

Scheme context:

The development of four major highway improvement schemes on the A47 corridor through PCF Stages 3 to 5, carried out as part of the Regional Delivery Partnership (RDP), Lot 7 – East.

Case Study details:

This case study has been written to summarise the work done to date by Galliford Try in relation to their Tender Commitment 45. This commitment stated:

We will investigate how other sectors have generated productivity gains such as Design for Manufacturing (DfMA) and 'plug and play'. Taking this proactive stance, we will review commonly used standard specifications and propose changes to Highways England (SES) to increase productivity through off-site production, precast and plug and play solutions {C6.03}.

As part of the A47 scheme design development carried out in PCF Stages 3 to 5, Galliford Try and their Design Partner Sweco have investigated and implemented opportunities to incorporate off-site production, precast and 'plug and play' solutions within each scheme's preliminary and detailed designs. Table 1 on page 2 summarises the work done to date in this area. The implementation of such solutions was carried out on a case-by-case basis, and took into account the constraints on each scheme, including the Preferred Route Announcement, Client Open for Traffic and Start of Works dates, site access constraints and scheme budget.

Please note that the term 'plug and play' applies primarily to Highways Communications infrastructure ('Comms'), and stems from the Smart Motorway sector. Following design development work, the final scope of Comms work on the A47 RDP schemes was extremely small, and therefore gave little opportunity for similar implementation. As an alternative to this specific category, this case study also includes examples where:

- 1. Standardisation of design detailing has been adopted across the A47 RDP schemes during PCF Stages 3 to 5.
- 2. 'Offline' construction of structure sub elements, i.e. in site areas away from live traffic lanes, has been investigated and implemented.

What are the benefits?

Please refer to Table 1 on page 2 for details of the benefits and efficiencies associated with each example.

Impact on the quality of delivery will be assessed upon completion of PCF Stage 6 (Construction) for each scheme, e.g. as part of associated Lessons Learnt reviews.

How can others apply your learning?

- PCF Stage 3 is the key stage of design development for confirming preferred solutions associated with structures and highways elements. It is important to ensure that all parties involved in the i. construction process are 'bought in' to the decisions made at this stage, and in order to avoid any design re-work and/or delays in later PCF Stages. This is not always possible due to changes in project personnel and/or organisational approaches during the lifecycle of a project.
- ii. When inheriting design solutions and/or construction methodologies from others in PCF Stage 2, it is important that any fundamental scheme buildability problems are identified and resolved as part of the contract award due diligence process, and not as part of the PCF Stages 3 to 5 design development process. This also applies to any fundamental changes of proposed solution (and noting that the two can be intrinsically interlinked). This issue can affect any subsequent decision to adopt offsite / offline production, design standardisation and/or precast techniques.
- The nature of the RDP schemes is such that scheme budget constraints take ultimate priority over the National Highways Imperatives of Customer, Safety and Delivery. In some cases, this can iii. preclude the use of precast solutions and/or offline or offsite construction techniques, and their associated benefits in relation to the Imperatives.
- The Preferred Route Announcement for each scheme, and associated scheme specific constraints, can restrict the ability to adopt wider standardisation across a series of schemes awarded to one iv. Main Contractor. For example, standard bridge designs. Larger programmes of work, e.g. HS2, LTC, may offer greater scope for implementing such approaches.
- The use of helical piles as foundations to bridge structures with 120-year design life is not acceptable to National Highways SES. This is despite their widespread use on gantries and cantilever v. signs on Smart Motorways (60-year design life), potential mitigations involving additional sacrificial corrosion allowance (for durability purposes), and their use on Network Rail footbridges.

Further information:

Contact for more details:

For structures, refer to the Stage 3 Structures Options Report (SOR) for each scheme. - see example on page 3.

Date case study submitted: 11/01/2024 PCF stages: 3-5

Nathan.Crabtree@gallifordtry.co.uk

Table 1: Examples of offsite / offline production, design standardisation and precast solutions investigated and implemented on the A47 RDP Schemes

Scheme(s) ⁽¹⁾	Example of offsite / offline production, design standardisation and precast solutions	Specific Examples ⁽²⁾	Status ⁽³⁾	Reference Material	Summary
All schemes	Use of fully precast drainage chambers and/or chamber bases	e.g. Easi-Base by FP McCann	Investigated and remains under consideration for	Supplier presentation from FP McCann https://fpmccann.co.uk/uk/drainage/	Increased s Reduction i benching.
All schemes	Use of precast foundations for Road Restraint Systems	e.g. Arbus Smartraft	implementation in PCF Stage 6	Supplier presentation from Arbus https://arbus.co.uk/products-services/smartraft/	Increased s Elimination
TTN	Use of precast coping units above reinforced earth retaining walls	Structure S41 and S42	Implemented	HE551492-GTY-SBR-S41-DR-CB-50017 HE551492-GTY-SBR-S42-DR-CB-50016	Elimination copings. Reduction i
BLO, TUD, TTN	Precast parapet stringcourse units on new build bridges	TTN: S41, S42 TUD: S05, S03, S17 BLO: S01	Investigated	A14C2H scheme bridge examples.	
BLO	Parapet stringcourse cast onto the edge beams during the fabrication process, and delivered to site as a combined unit	BLO: S03	Implemented	HE551490-GTY-SBR-S03-DR-CB-50110	Elimination height. Improved q Reduction i
TTN	 Use of helical piles (pre-fabricated steel pile sections) as the foundations for a footbridge instead of insitu reinforced concrete bored piles. Use of prefabricated steel grillages instead of insitu reinforced concrete pile caps. 	Structure S45	Investigated	HE551492-GTY-SBR-S45-RP-CB-50001	Improved c including el live traffic. Reduced co Shorter inst Carbon red
TTN	Standardisation of construction methodology for the two major underpasses on the scheme	Structures S02 and S04	Implemented	 Thickthorn Structures Buildability Review, 1st March 2023 presentation to National Highways. HE551492-GTY-SBR-000-RP-CB-50001 	Ability to sh
TTN	Use of precast shell units for bridge abutment construction	TTN: • S41 and S42 Bridge Abutments • S02 and S04 Underpass Structures	Investigated	Supplier presentation from Expanded https://www.laingorourke.com/thinking/technology-and- innovation-spotlight-building-bridges/	
TTN	Use of offline construction for major structural elements and installation using 'heavy lift' methods during short duration full road closures	 TTN: S41 Bridge deck and use of self-propelled modular transporters (SPMTs) S45 Footbridge truss and use of self-propelled modular transporters (SPMTs) S02 and S04 Underpass portal structures and use of box push method and guide tunnels 	Implemented	 Structure S41: HE551492-GTY-SBR-S41-DR-CB- 50015, 50016, 50017 Structure S45: HE551492-GTY-SBR-S45-RP-CB- 50002 Underpasses S02 & S04: A47 Thickthorn_Underpass Design Development Timeline_V1.1 HE551492-GTY-SBR-000-RP-CB-50001 HE551492-GTY-SBR-S02-RP-CB-50201 HE551492-GTY-SBR-S04-RP-CB-50401 Thickthorn Structures Buildability Review, 1st March 2023 presentation to National Highways. 	Reduced in Reduced cu Improved c Ability to ma
BLO, TUD, WAN	 Standardisation of design solutions for typical highways elements associated with new build dual carriageways, including: Edge of carriageway drainage detail Central reserve road restraint type Central reserve paving / finishing detail 	BLO, TUD, WAN	Implemented	 HE551489-GTY-HGN-000-RP-CD-30003 HE551489-GTY-HGN-000-RP-CD-30004 HE551489-GTY-HGN-000-RP-CH-30007 	Standardisa schemes. Design to b requiremen Early agree Ops Teams
WAN, TUD, TTN, BLO	Use of the same proprietary reinforced earth system on all structures where reinforced earth construction was proposed	 BLO: S01, S03 TTN: S41, S42 TUD: S03, S04, S16, S17 WAN: S02, S05 	Implemented	Refer to the Stage 5 structures design drawings for each scheme.	Same desig Standardisa schemes. Supplier de Stage 5, an
WAN, TUD, TTN, BLO	Use of both standardised and bespoke precast concrete components for the construction of new structures	 Standard box culvert and wingwall units: WAN: S04; TTN: S46; TUD: S01, S18 Standard 'catalogue' bridge beams: TTN: S41, S42; TUD: S03, S07; BLO: S01, S03 Bespoke precast deck units: WAN: S08 TTN: S02 and S04 Precast 'u and n' units to form box structures: WAN: S02, S05 TUD: S04, S16 	Implemented Implemented Investigated Implemented Investigated	 Refer to: 1. The Stage 5 structures design drawings for each scheme 2. The Stage 3 Structures Options Reports for each scheme 	Reduced si Improved q FRC (formv scheme red construction

(1) BLO = Blofield, TUD = Tuddenham, TTN = Thickthorn, WAN = Wansford.

(2) 'S' numbers refer to specific new structures on each scheme. Refer to the PCF Stage 5 general arrangement drawings for each scheme for further details.

(3) 'Implemented' = measure incorporated into the PCF Stage 5 detailed design for the scheme. In the case of the Thickthorn scheme, this applies up to the point of contact handover to National Highways in July 2023. 'Investigated' = measure reviewed in detail as part of the design development, but ultimately not taken forward into the PCF Stage 5 detailed design for the scheme.

Knowledge Management Case Study template

of Benefits and Efficiencies

speed of installation. in confined space working, e.g. as associated with insitu

speed of installation. of driven post requirement, and associated service strike risk.

of temporary works associated with insitu construction of

in amount of work at height.

of parapet falsework requirement and associated work at

uality.

in overall bridge construction duration. construction safety compared to traditional bored piles, limination of piling platforms and large piling rigs adjacent to

onstruction cost.

tallation duration. luction benefit.

nare design and construction resources across both structures.

nterface with live traffic. ustomer disruption. construction safety. neet Client key dates for the scheme.

ation of design and construction approach across the A47

budget, while meeting minimum design / technical nts.

ement of key design details with National Highways SES and

gn organisation / points of contact for all reinforced earth walls. ation of design and construction approach across the A47

sign incorporated into scheme detailed design during PCF nd certified accordingly (best practice approach). ite installation duration.

uality.

work, reinforcement and concrete) resource demand on each duced by using precast instead of insitu reinforced concrete n.

A47 RDP Schemes:

Schedule of Stage 3 Structures Options Reports (SORs)

Version 1, 18.01.2024

Scheme	Document Number	Viewpoint Location or Link	Title
Tuddenham	HE551489-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30002	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=20b4094d-a24d-4532-97ff-391455673446	SOR Volume 1 - Introduction
	HE551489-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30003	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=31e9acc3-0ea3-4007-9867-391455661906	SOR Volume 2 - S03 and S07
	HE551489-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30004	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=3e46075e-e7dd-4492-9505-391455658090	SOR Volume 3 - River Tud Cr
	HE551489-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30005	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=7c07d21d-837a-4203-b7b3-391455664351	SOR Volume 4 - S04 and S16
	HE551489-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30006	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=e9fbe56f-9d8f-46be-bb3f-391455665165	SOR Volume 5 - Easton Foot
	HE551489-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30007	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=ae88a673-190e-4b0d-88b5-391455665986	SOR Volume 6 - S18
Blofield	HE551490-GTY-SBR-S01-RP-CB-30001	18.01.24: Query raised with GT DC on location of these documents on Viewpoint –	SOR - STRUCTURE OPTION
	HE551490-GTY-SBR-S03-RP-CB-30001	not found on a search.	SOR - STRUCTURE OPTION
Wansford	HE551494-GTY-SBR-S02-RP-CB-50001	> <u>GT INFRA Div</u> > <u>OU5012 - HIGHWAYS</u> > <u>National Highways</u> > <u>FWK-HE18005-</u>	S02 Wansford NMU Underpas
	HE551494-GTY-SMN-S04-RP-CB-00002	East > CON-HE022-A47WAN > 10. Drgs, Sch and Specs > 02 DesignDeliverables > 02 Highways > 1700	SOR - S04 Sluice Extension
	HE551494-GTY-SGN-S05-RP-CB-00001		SOR - S05
	HE551494-GTY-SMN-S08-RP-CB-50002		S08 Structures Options Report
Thickthorn	HE551492-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30001	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=93f9a329-2833-4f9a-83fd-391456052125	Structure Options Report (Vol
	HE551492-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30002	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=2c48584f-e39f-4b0f-a65c-387837133716	Structures Options Report (Vo
	HE551492-GTY-SBR-000-RP-CB-50001	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=56891314-9231-47ac-b9d9-391455997312	SOR Volume 2 Addendum
	HE551492-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30004	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=903d1228-fb20-4b92-9727-391456053923	Structure Options Report (Vol
	HE551492-GTY-SGN-000-RP-CB-30005	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=1ecc1121-f3c3-4e63-926e-391456133348	Structures Options Report (Vo
	HE551492-GTY-SBR-S45-RP-CB-50001	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=7a355952-afb8-4da7-a845-391456000101	SOR Volume 5 Addendum S4
	HE551492-GTY-SMN-S46-RP-CB-50002	https://download.4projects.com?LinkID=54d9f598-7d26-4638-abe8-391456054892	Structures Options Technical Culvert

Yellow highlighted items: GT DC / IM to make document available via Public Link.

rossing

bridge

NS REPORT S01

NS REPORT S03

ss Technical Note SOR

rt

1) - Scheme wide Information

ol 2) - Underpasses

I 4) - Overbridges

ol 5) - Footbridges

15

Note - S46 Cantley Lane South